

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction to the Study

1.1.	Introduction	1-1
1.2.	Study Goals, Objectives, and Research Questions	1-2
1.3.	Justification for the Research and Study Benefits	1-4
1.4.	Study Methodology	1-5
1.5.	Scope of Study	1-6
1.6.	Structure of the Report	1-6
1.7.	Summary	1-7

Chapter 2: Context for the Study: Using the Literature to Develop a Preliminary Conceptual Model

2.1.	Introduction	2-1
2.2.	Introduction to the Literature.....	2-2
2.3.	Definitions of Standards	2-4
2.4.	Taxonomies of Standards: Categories and Classification Schemes	2-7
2.5.	Overview of Standards Development Processes.....	2-9
2.5.1.	Voluntary Consensus Standards.....	2-10
2.5.2.	De Facto Standards & De Jure Standards.....	2-11
2.5.3.	Standards Developed by Consortia	2-12
2.6.	Standards Development for Information Technology	2-13
2.6.1.	Problems in Information Technology Standards Development.....	2-16
2.6.2.	Standards Developers' Responses to Information Technology	2-18
2.6.2.1.	Open Systems Interconnection.....	2-18
2.6.2.2.	Organizational Responses	2-20
2.6.3.	The Internet Standards Process.....	2-21
2.6.4.	Reactive Versus Anticipatory Standards	2-22
2.7.	The Literature of Science, Technology, and Society as a Theoretical Basis	2-24
2.8.	Standards Development Modeling	2-28
2.8.1.	Models of Standards Development.....	2-29
2.8.2.	Life Cycle Models and Best Practices.....	2-30
2.8.3.	Models Focusing on Formalized Processes.....	2-32
2.8.4.	Towards Extended Models	2-33
2.8.5.	Summary	2-37
2.9.	Evidence for the Preliminary Conceptual Model of Standards Development	2-38
2.9.1.	Systems Theory as Conceptual Foundation	2-39
2.9.2.	Overview of the Preliminary Conceptual Model.....	2-41
2.9.3.	The Socio-Technical Environment.....	2-44
2.9.4.	Stakeholders	2-47
2.9.5.	Participants.....	2-48
2.9.6.	Subsystems.....	2-50
2.9.6.1.	The Standards Organization Subsystem	2-52
2.9.6.2.	The Standards Development Subsystem.....	2-53
2.10.	Summary	2-54

Chapter 3: Study Design and Methodology

3.1.	Introduction	3-1
3.2.	The Research Strategy: Qualitative, Case Study, and Model Building	3-1
3.2.1.	A Qualitative Study	3-2
3.2.2.	A Case Study	3-3
3.2.3.	Model Building	3-5
3.3.	Study Design	3-6

3.4.	Sources of Data and Data Collection Activities	3-9
3.4.1.	Preliminary Interviews with Experts on Standards Development Processes	3-10
3.4.2.	Primary Source Material and Documentary Evidence.....	3-10
3.4.3.	Guided Interviews with Z39.50 Participants and Stakeholders.....	3-12
3.4.4.	Participant Observation of Z39.50 Standards Work	3-14
3.4.5.	Summary of Data Collection for Case Study	3-15
3.5.	Data Management and Analysis.....	3-17
3.5.1.	Overall Strategy for Processing Qualitative Data.....	3-17
3.5.2.	Data Management and Preparation for Analysis.....	3-17
3.5.3.	Data Analysis	3-20
3.5.3.1.	Analysis of Primary Source Material	3-20
3.5.3.2.	Analysis of Guided Interviews.....	3-21
3.5.3.3.	Researcher Memos	3-23
3.6.	Quality and Trustworthiness of the Study.....	3-23
3.6.1.	Quality of Data Sources.....	3-24
3.6.2.	Systematic Collection and Management of Data.....	3-25
3.6.3.	Analysis of Data and Development of Findings	3-26
3.6.4.	Assessing the Accuracy and Credibility of Findings and Conclusions.....	3-26
3.6.5.	Incorporating and Accounting for the Researcher’s Experience and Knowledge of Z39.50 Development	3-27
3.7.	Methodological Challenges and Issues	3-29
3.7.1.	Scope of Study.....	3-30
3.7.2.	Primacy of Documentary Evidence in Research.....	3-30
3.7.3.	Content Analysis and Coding of Interview Data.....	3-32
3.8.	Summary	3-32

Chapter 4: The Development of Z39.50

4.1.	Introduction	4-1
4.2.	Toward a National Bibliographic Network: Vision, Context, and Requirements	4-1
4.2.1.	Automation, Bibliographic Information, and Possibilities.....	4-2
4.2.2.	Early Organizational Leadership for a National Network	4-5
4.2.2.1.	The National Commission on Library and Information Science	4-5
4.2.2.2.	The Library of Congress and its Network Planning Efforts.....	4-7
4.2.2.3.	The Council on Library Resources	4-10
4.3.	The First Technical Initiatives toward Computer-To-Computer Protocols.....	4-13
4.3.1.	ALA’s Information Science and Automation Division Protocol Work	4-13
4.3.2.	NCLIS/NBS Task Force on Computer Network Protocol	4-14
4.3.3.	The Network Architecture Technical Group	4-16
4.4.	Z39: The National Information Standards Developing Organization	4-18
4.4.1.	A Brief History of the Standards Organization	4-18
4.4.2.	Changing Scope and Organization of Z39	4-19
4.5.	The Movement toward Open Systems.....	4-22

4.6.	The Formal Development of Z39.50: 1979–1995.....	4–24
4.6.1.	The Creation of Z39 Subcommittee D.....	4–25
4.6.2.	Early Meetings of SC D: Determining a Focus	4–27
4.6.3.	New Members, New Issues, and the Overriding Influence of CLR’s Project.....	4–29
4.6.4.	The Linked Systems Project	4–31
4.6.5.	Preparation of a Draft Standard	4–32
4.6.6.	The Failure of the 1984 Ballot	4–34
4.6.7.	Resolution of the Negative Votes	4–37
4.6.8.	Reaching Out to the Broader Information Community.....	4–39
4.6.9.	Preparation of a Revised Draft Standard for Re-Ballot.....	4–40
4.6.10.	Approval and Acceptance as an American National Standard	4–46
4.6.11.	The End of SC D.....	4–47
4.7.	A New Era of Standards Development: The Z39.50 Maintenance Agency and the Z39.50 Implementors Group	4–50
4.7.1.	A Hiatus in NISO Activities on Z39.50.....	4–50
4.7.2.	The Z39.50 Maintenance Agency	4–51
4.7.3.	The Formation of the Z39.50 Implementors Group.....	4–52
4.8.	The ZIG	4–55
4.8.1.	An Overview of Meetings and Participants: 1990–1995	4–55
4.8.2.	ZIG Structure and Activities.....	4–61
4.8.2.1.	Administration of the ZIG	4–61
4.8.2.2.	Meetings of the ZIG.....	4–61
4.8.2.3.	Procedures of the ZIG.....	4–62
4.8.2.4.	Information Transfer	4–63
4.8.2.5.	Modeling, Architecture, and Technical Specification.....	4–64
4.8.2.6.	Evolution of Roles and Responsibilities of the ZIG	4–66
4.9.	Development of Version 2, Z39.50–1992	4–68
4.9.1.	Preparation of Version 2.....	4–69
4.9.2.	Balloting Z39.50–1992	4–70
4.10.	Z39.50 Implementation: Early Adopters and the Z39.50 Interoperability Testbed	4–71
4.10.1.	Prototypes and Experimental Implementations.....	4–71
4.10.2.	The Z39.50 Interoperability Testbed.....	4–72
4.11.	The ZIG Makes Z39.50 Its Own: Version 3	4–75
4.11.1.	Preliminary Identification of Requirements and Enhancements for Version 3	4–76
4.11.2.	Preparation of Version 3 for Balloting.....	4–76
4.11.3.	ZIG and Maintenance Agency Efforts to Ensure a Successful Ballot.....	4–80
4.11.4.	The Balloting and Approval of Version 3.....	4–81
4.12.	Summary	4–83

Chapter 5: Study Findings

5.1.	Introduction	5–1
5.2.	Framing the Findings: Terms and Concepts.....	5–3
5.3.	The Four Stages of Z39.50 Development.....	5–9
5.3.1.	Stage 1, 1979–1981: The Vision	5–10
5.3.2.	Stage 2, 1981–1988: The Project.....	5–16
5.3.3.	Stage 3, 1988–1992: The Standard	5–23
5.3.4.	Stage 4, 1992–1995: Implementors and Implementations.....	5–30
5.3.5.	Summary of Findings from Each Stage	5–37
5.4.	Implications of the Findings on the Conceptual Model.....	5–37
5.4.1.	Mediating Mechanisms and Relevant Environment	5–39
5.5.	Summary	5–41

Chapter 6: Conclusions and Implications

6.1.	Introduction	6-1
6.2.	Z39.50 Development as Illustrative of Key Problems with Information Technology Standards Development.....	6-2
6.2.1.	The Evolving Nature of Z39.50 Standards Work	6-2
6.2.2.	The Anticipatory/Reactive Approaches to Standards.....	6-3
6.2.3.	An Alternative Approach To Characterize Z39.50 Evolution	6-6
6.2.4.	The Role of Implementors as Participants and Stakeholders in Standards Work.....	6-7
6.2.5.	Identifying the Relevant Environment for Standards Work	6-9
6.2.6.	Identifying Indicators of Success of a Standards Development Effort	6-11
6.2.6.1.	Formal Approval	6-12
6.2.6.2.	Extent of Implementation.....	6-12
6.2.6.3.	Accomplishment of the Goals of the Standard.....	6-13
6.2.6.4.	Support for and Enabling New, Unanticipated Applications and Uses.....	6-14
6.2.6.5.	Summarizing Indicators of Success.....	6-15
6.3.	Modeling Standards Development	6-16
6.3.1.	Revisiting the Preliminary Conceptual Model.....	6-16
6.3.2.	Reconceptualizing the Standards Development Process as “Standards Work”	6-17
6.3.3.	Revising the Conceptual Model.....	6-20
6.4.	Implications for the Standards Developing Organization.....	6-23
6.4.1.	Accommodating Evolutionary Standards	6-23
6.4.2.	New Procedures, Arrangements, and Arenas for Standards Work.....	6-24
6.4.3.	The Role of the NISO in Z39.50 Evolution.....	6-25
6.4.4.	The Balloting Process and Complex Technical Standards.....	6-25
6.4.5.	The SDO as a Standards Service Provider.....	6-26
6.5.	Implications for Future Research on Standards Development	6-27
6.5.1.	Research To Evaluate Success of Standards Efforts.....	6-28
6.5.2.	Exploring the Conceptual Model of Standards Work	6-30
6.6.	Assessment of the Study.....	6-30

References

Appendices

Appendix A	List of Interviews Conducted
Appendix B	Samples of Guided Interview Protocol
Appendix C	Guided Interviews Data
Appendix D	Sample of Analysis in Developing Historical Reconstruction
Appendix E	Samples of Coded Guided Interview Data
Appendix F	Samples of Researcher Memos
Appendix G	Draft Codebook Based on Early Coding Experience
Appendix H:	Library of Congress Network Advisory Committee–Membership
Appendix I:	NCLIS/NBS Task Force on Computer Network Protocol–Membership
Appendix J:	Network Technical Architecture Group–Membership
Appendix K:	Z390 Task Force–Membership
Appendix L:	SC D Membership, 1979–1988
Appendix M:	Z39.50 Implementors Group Organizational Meeting Participants

List of Tables and Figures

Table 2-1	Definitions of Standards	2-5
Table 2-2	Selected ANSI-Accredited Information Technology Standards Developers	2-12
Table 2-3	X3 Standards Life Cycle Model.....	2-30
Table 2-4	NIST Best Practices Workshop Model.....	2-31
Table 2-5	Six-Stage Process Model for JTC 1 Standards Development	2-33
Table 2-6	Checkland’s Formal Systems Model	2-41
Table 3-1	Type and Utility of Data from Each Data Collection Activity	3-16
Table 4-1	Scope Statements of Z39.....	4-20
Table 4-2	ZIG Meetings and Attendance	4-55
Table 4-3	Number of Organizations Represented at ZIG Meetings	4-56
Table 4-4	Core Organizations in ZIG.....	4-57
Table 4-5	Organizations Represented in Six of Previous Nine ZIG Meetings	4-58
Table 4-6	Core ZIG Participants (10 of 16 meetings).....	4-59
Table 4-7	Core ZIG Participants (5 of 10 most recent meetings).....	4-60
Table 4-8	Individuals with Predominant Influence in the ZIG.....	4-61
Table 4-9	Participating Organizations in Z39.50 Interoperability Testbed (1992).....	4-74
Figure 1-1	Study Goals, Objectives, and Research Questions	1-3
Figure 2-1	Open Systems Interconnection Basic Reference Model	2-19
Figure 2-2	Social Groups, Problems & Solutions.....	2-27
Figure 2-3	GILS Profile Development	2-36
Figure 2-4	The Standards Production System	2-43
Figure 2-5	The Socio-Technical Environment.....	2-46
Figure 2-6	Standards Organization Subsystem & Standards Development Subsystem	2-51
Figure 3-1	Research Design.....	3-8
Figure 3-2	Document Inventory Record Examples.....	3-19
Figure 3-3	Analysis Codes for Interview Data	3-23
Figure 4-1	Model of Bi-directional Data Communications	4-13
Figure 4-2	Z39 Standards as of 1979.....	4-19
Figure 4-3	Categories of ZIG Participants.....	4-58
Figure 4-4	Model of a Retrieval Record.....	4-66
Figure 5-1	Study Goals, Objectives, and Research Questions	5-1
Figure 5-2	Main Study Findings	5-3
Figure 5-3	Preliminary Conceptual Model	5-4
Figure 5-4	Standards Work System and Its Relevant Environment	5-6
Figure 5-5	Shifting and Changing Relevant Environment for the Standards Work System.....	5-7
Figure 5-6	Summary of Findings Associated with Stages of Z39.50 Evolution	5-38
Figure 6-1	Moments in Z39.50 Development on a Reactive/Anticipatory Standards Development Continuum	6-4
Figure 6-2	Activities of Creating/Evolving Z39.50.....	6-18
Figure 6-3	Preliminary Conceptual Model of the Standards Production System	6-20
Figure 6-4	Revised Conceptual Model of the Standards Work System.....	6-21
Figure 6-5	Conceptualizing Standards Work as Space of Social Interaction.....	6-21
Figure 6-6	Social Groups, Problems, Solutions, and the Standards Work.....	6-22
Figure 6-7	Working Hypotheses Based on Z39.50 Case Study	6-28